

IN THE DRAWINGS

Please cancel sheet 3 of the formal patent drawings filed with the application, and consisting of Figs. 3 and 4. Please replace that cancelled sheet with the enclosed Replacement Sheet 3, also consisting of Figs. 3 and 4.

REMARKS

In the Office Action of August 24, 2007, the Examiner objected to the drawings for several reasons. Initially, it was noted that the reference numeral 08, both occurrences, in Fig. 3, were not underlined. There is submitted a Replacement Sheet containing Fig. 3, with the reference numeral 08 underlined in both occurrences.

The drawings were objected to as including the reference character MR which was not set forth in the specification. The Examiner noted the appearance of the reference character RM in the specification. The two occurrences of the reference character RM, at pages 23 and 24 of the Substitute Specification have been changed to MR. It is believed that these changes overcome this objection to the drawings.

The drawings were also objected to as failing to include the reference numeral 86 which was described in the Substitute Specification as being directed to an ejector. In response, the reference numeral has been cancelled and the Substitute Specification has been amended to recite that the ejector is not specifically shown. Claim 59 has also now been cancelled since it was directed to the provision of the lateral ejector. It is believed that the Substitute Specification provides ample support for the addition of a depiction of a lateral ejector to the drawings. Applicant simply has decided not to do so at this time.

The Examiner's time and effort in reviewing the length Substitute Specification is acknowledged and appreciated. The typographical errors noted by the Examiner have been corrected. The undersigned has also reviewed the Substitute Specification in an effort to locate any additional typographical errors. It is believed that these changes and corrections do not add any new matter but merely correct obvious typographical errors.

Claims 35-49 were objected to as being informal. In claim 35, it was noted that the term "transfer cylinder" should be "rubber blanket cylinder." Claims 35 and 36 have been amended to correct that error. Claims 51, 52 and 57 have been amended to change the word "imprinted" to

“printed.” This change is to provide correcting of claim terminology. Neither of these changes is intended to change the scope of the claims.

SUMMARY


Fig. 3 of the drawings has been corrected. The Substitute Specification has been amended to correct various typographical errors and to remove a reference character not shown in the drawings. Several minor changes have been made to various ones of the claims to provide uniformity of claim language.

It is believed that these various changes and corrections do not constitute any new matter. It is further believed that the claims are now in condition for allowance. Such action, and the forwarding of the application to issue is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Wolfgang Peter ZINK
Applicant

JONES, TULLAR & COOPER, P.C.
Attorneys for Applicants



Douglas R. Hanscom
Reg. No. 26,600

September 19, 2007
JONES, TULLAR & COOPER, P.C.
P.O. Box 2266 Eads Station
Arlington, Virginia 22202
(703) 415-1500
Attorney Docket: W1.1968 PCT-US